



Texas Department of Insurance
Division of Workers' Compensation
Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address: MONSOUR MEDICAL CENTER 70 LINCOLN WAY EAST JEANNETTE PA 15644	MFDR Tracking #: M4-05-B056-01
	DWC Claim #:
	Injured Employee:
Respondent Name and Box #: FACILITY INSURANCE CORP Box #: 19	Date of Injury:
	Employer Name:
	Insurance Carrier #:

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary: The requestor did not submit a position summary in their request for medical fee dispute resolution.

Requestor's Rationale for Increased Reimbursement from Table of Disputed Services: "Reimbursement."

Principal Documentation:

1. DWC 60 Package
2. Medical Bill(s)
3. EOB(s)
4. Medical Records
5. Total Amount Sought - \$846.00

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "The Texas Labor Code requires reimbursement for all medical expenses to be fair and reasonable and be designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. TEX. LABOR CODE Section 413.011(d). The carrier asserts that it has paid according to applicable fee guidelines and/or reduced to fair and reasonable. Further, the carrier challenges whether the charges are consistent with applicable fee guidelines. All reductions of the disputed charges were made appropriately."

Principal Documentation:

1. DWC 60 Package

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
09/16/2004	39	Evaluation and Pain Management Services provided in an Outpatient Hospital	\$846.00	\$0.00
			Total Due:	

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on August 1, 2005. Pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on August 17, 2005 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.

1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason codes:
 - 39-Payment denied/reduced for absence of, or exceeded, pre-certification/authorization.
2. This dispute relates to evaluation and pain management services provided in a outpatient hospital with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that “reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers’ Compensation Act, §413.011”...
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual’s behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
4. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.600(b), effective March 14, 2004, 29 TexReg 2360; states “The carrier is liable for all reasonable and necessary medical costs relating to the health care: (1) listed in subsection (h) or (i) of this section, only when the following situation occur: (A) an emergency, as defined in §133.1 of this title (relating to Definitions).”
5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.1(a), effective July 15, 2000; defines an emergency as “(A) a medical emergency consists of the sudden onset of a medical condition manifesting itself by acute symptoms of sufficient severity, including severe pain, that the absence of immediate medical attention could reasonably be expected to result in placing the patient’s health and/or bodily functions in serious jeopardy, and/or serious dysfunction of any body organ or part.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not submitted medical records that support a medical emergency as defined in Division rule at 28 TAC §133.1.
6. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.600(h), effective March 14, 2004, 29 TexReg 2360; states “The non-emergency health care requiring preauthorization includes: (2) outpatient surgical or ambulatory surgical services, as defined in subsection (a) of this section.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not submitted a copy of the preauthorization report for the disputed services in accordance with Division rule at 28 TAC §134.600(h).
7. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(A), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request shall include “a copy of all medical bill(s) as originally submitted to the carrier for reconsideration in accordance with §133.304.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not submitted a copy of the original bill. Therefore, the requestor has failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form, format, and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(A).
8. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request shall include “a copy of each explanation of benefits (EOB) or response to the refund request relevant to the dispute or, if no EOB was received, convincing evidence of carrier receipt of the provider request for an EOB.” Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not provided a copy of the EOB detailing the insurance carrier’s response to the request for reconsideration. Nor has the requestor provided evidence of carrier receipt of the request for an EOB. The requestor has therefore failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(B).
9. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including “a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include: (i) a description of the healthcare for which payment is in dispute, (ii) the requestor’s reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid or refunded, (iii) how the Texas Labor Code and commission [now the Division] rules, and fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues, and (iv) how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not discuss or explain how the Texas Labor Code and Division rules impact the disputed fee issues, or how the submitted documentation supports the requestor’s position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C).
10. Division Rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §133.1 of this title (relating to Definitions) and §134.1 of this title (relating to Use of the Fee Guidelines)”... Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
 - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.
 - The requestor did not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would ensure the quality of

medical care, achieve effective medical cost control, provide for payment that is not in excess of a fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living, consider the increased security of payment, or otherwise satisfy the requirements of Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) or Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.

- The requestor did not discuss or support that the proposed methodology would ensure that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

11. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support that the disputed services were a medical emergency under Division rule §133.1. Because the services were not a medical emergency, preauthorization in accordance with Division rule §134.600 was required. Since a copy of the preauthorization report was not submitted, reimbursement is not supported. Furthermore, the Division finds that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e)(2)(A), §133.307(e)(2)(B), §133.307(g)(3)(C), and §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division concludes that the requestor failed to meet its burden of proof to support its position that reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.0311
28 Texas Administrative Code §133.1, §133.304, §133.307, §134.1
Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

PART VII: DIVISION DECISION

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

DECISION:

_____	_____	3/24/2010
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.